



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/881,935 | 06/15/2001 | Bhajmohan (Ricky) Singh | 7312/US/NP | 8096 |

7590 06/23/2005

John S. Beulick
Armstrong Teasdale LLP
One Metropolitan Sq., Suite 2600
St. Louis, MO 63102

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

BHAT, NINA NMN

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1764

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

w

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------|

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

| | |
|----------|-------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER |
|----------|-------|

20050621

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

During an in process review of this case by the PTO quality assurance group. It was noted that the Terminal Disclaimer filed 11-20-2003 over U.S. Patent 6,358,546 was okay. The Terminal Disclaimer filed over 6,493,641 was not filed. What occurred was that applicant filed a duplicate Terminal Disclaimer over the '546. Applicant did indicated in the response of 11-20-2003 that it was applicant's intention to file Terminal Disclaimers over both the '641 patent and the '546 patent. The Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection over the 6,493,641 is still applicable for reasons of record in the Office action mailed 7-21-2003. A properly executed and timely filed Terminal disclaimer over the '641 patent is required.

The period for response continues to run from the Final rejection mailed May 4, 2005.

N. Bhat
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1764

ll